IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 10543 of 2001

Between:

L. Devender Goud S/o L. Mallaiah Goud
R/o H.No.12-13-1085/51, Kimti Colony, Street No.11,
Tarnaka, Secundrabad-17.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Station House Officer Osmania University P.S.,
Hyderabad.
2 The Asst. Commissioner of Police
Kachiguda Division, Hyderabad.
3 Govt. of A.P., Rep By Its Secretary For Home,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ or Order or Direction, essentially in the nature of Writ of Mandamus restraining the respondents, their officers successors in office or any other officers at their instance calling upon the petitioners family members to the police station and detaining except in accordance with the procedure established by law and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.B.VEERABHADRA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

The petitioner alleges that at the instance of one Ajay Reddy, the second respondent called him to the Police Station. He was allegedly made to sit and sign on the blank papers given by the Station House Officer, the first respondent herein. He alleges that he and his family members are being called to the Police Station regularly without there being a registered crime.

The first respondent has filed a counter affidavit, *inter alia,* stating that 20 women submitted a complaint on 27.02.2001 against the petitioner. After investigating into the compliant, the Police came to the conclusion that the dispute is purely civil in nature and the parties were advised accordingly to approach the Civil Court. The allegation that the first respondent called the petitioner and his family members to the Police Station is denied.

The petitioner has not filed any reply affidavit denying the counter averments. This only shows that the writ petition is filed by making imaginary allegations and is liable to be dismissed.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

24.06.2005

vs

To

1 The Station House Officer Osmania University P.S.,
Hyderabad.
2 The Asst. Commissioner of Police
Kachiguda Division, Hyderabad.
3 Secretary For Home , Govt. of A.P.,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.

4 Two CCs to the G.P for Home, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad(O.U.T).

5 2 CD copies